## **MAGNETIC SPRING**

## Igor Marković<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Croatia

## 1. Introduction

This is the original solution of team Croatia for the Problem 14, Magnetic Spring for the IYPT in Vienna, 2010. I was then a senior high school student and in charge for this problem but never got to report it. Here are given: a theoretical model based on conservation of energy, description of the experimental apparatus and a discussion of the results.

## 2. Problem

"Two magnets are arranged on top of each other such that one of them is fixed and the other one can move vertically. Investigate oscillations of the magnet."

## 3. Theoretical model

### 3.1. Oscillation period

There are two physicaly important aspects in this setup that cause the oscillations; gravitational (attraction) and magnetic force (repulsion). For the theoretical model a simple dipole approximation was used for the magnets and friction was neglected. The problem was approached using the law of conservation of energy. The total energy of the system is given with:

$$E_{tot} = \frac{m \mathscr{K}}{2} + mgz + \frac{\mu_0 \mu^2}{2\pi z^3} = E_p(z_{max})$$
(1)

*m* being the mass of the magnet, *z* position on the vertical axis and  $\mu = B_r V_m / \mu_0$  its magnetic dipole moment (B<sub>r</sub> is the remanent field and V<sub>m</sub> the volumne of the magnet). This expression, upon extracting the time differential from the



Figure 1: Qualitative graph of the potential energy, total energy is determined from the initial hight,  $z_{max}$ 

vertical speed, puting  $E_{tot} = E_p(z_{max})$  and integrating from  $z_{min}$  to  $z_{max}$  ( $z_{min}$  – lowest point of the trajectory,  $z_{max}$  – initial height of the magnet), yields:

$$T = 2\sqrt{\frac{z_{\max}}{2g}} \int_{\gamma}^{1} \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{1-\zeta}} \sqrt{1-\frac{\gamma^{3}}{\zeta^{3}} \frac{\zeta^{2}+\zeta+1}{\gamma^{2}+\gamma+1}}$$
(2)

with T the period of oscillations and  $\gamma = z_{min} / z_{max}$ ,  $\zeta = z / z_{max}$  substitutions made to simplify of the formula. The integral can be thought of as a correction of the free fall due to the magnetic repulsion. Also, the substitutions store all the parameters of the magnet are in a single parameter of the formula,  $\gamma$ :

$$\frac{\gamma^{3}}{\gamma^{2} + \gamma + 1} = \frac{B_{r}^{2} V_{m}^{2}}{2\pi \mu_{0} m g z_{max}^{4}}$$
(3)

Thus we have a quantitative theoretical prediction of the first oscillation period with no free parameters.

#### 3.2. Oscillation trajectory

In the trajectory prediction two extrems are analyzed: the magnet moving far from the equilibrium and oscillations near the equilibrium. In both cases approximations are used on the potential energy (Figure 1). In the first case, we can approximate the potential with two straight lines. The gravitational part gives a linear dependence (as in (1)) while the magnetic part can be approximated as a vertical potential barrier. Behaviour of the magnet is then similar to a bouncing ball (the energy here being drained by the eddy currents instead of deformations). The trajectory in that case is a parabola for each period. In the second case, near the equilibrium, the potential energy can be aproximated with a parabola, thus making the magnet beahve as a harmonic oscillator (damped because of the eddy currents). This means that the trajectory near the equilibrium is a damped sine.

### 4. Apparatus and measurements

For any measurement, what is needed first is a setup that enables the magnets to behave as the problem states. That was achieved using the apparatus shown in Figure 2. The tube enables only vertical motion of the mobile magnet and is lifted form the housing so that the airflow through the tube would be unobstructed and cause no damping. The magnets used were long and cylindrical to improve the dipole approximation. They were NdFeB, with

the remanent field of  $B_r=1.4T$ .

## 4.1. Period measurements

For period measurements around the tube was placed a hand made copper coil, made of 50µm thick wire, attached by a sliding plastic ring at the equilibrium position (Figure 2). Moving through the coil, the magnet induces a voltage proportional to its speed. The signal from the coil is than stored on a computer via an AD converter. A typical measurement is shown in Figure 4a. Mass was changed by stacking M4 nuts upon a threaded rod attached to the magnet. The bottom nut was made of steel so that is 'sticks' to the magnet while the other nuts and the rod were brass so as not to change the geometry and the parameters of the magnet. Period and equilibrium position were measured in dependence of mass of the magnet. Period was also measured in dependence of the initial height,  $z_{max}$ .



Figure 2: Magnets housing with the coil to measure the period

### 4.2. Trajectory measurements

To find the trajectory of the mobile magnet the housing was placed upon a small wheelcart pulled by an electromotor at a constant velocity. This provided an x axis in space that is linear with time. A luminescent fluid (from fishing gear) was attached on top of the mobile magnet in a capsule (Figure 3). The magnet was set to oscillate on the moving cart. A photo with a long (6s) exposition was taken in complete darkness, giving us the position *z* of the magnet in time (Figure 4b). Also, using this method, the  $z_{min}$  vs.  $z_{max}$  dependence was determined.

The period measurements give the behaviour of the first period for the corresponding initial height while the trajectory (position) measurements explain the way the system evolves from there. That means that, if it proves that our theoretical model can predict both of those with satisfying accuracy, we can predict the magnets oscillations entirely.



Figure 3: Trajectory measurement apparatus



Figure 4: typical measurements of a) period (insert is a closeup of one period) b) traj

#### b) trajectory

## 5. Results

Some measurements were made to verify the validity of the dipole approximation and the consistency of the theoretical approch (Figure 5). To verify the dipole approximation, static case when the magnet is motionless in the equilibrium position is used. The sum of forces that are acting on the magnet in that case is zero (differentiating  $E_p$  at  $z=z_{eqilibrium}$ ). The dipole approximation is in the magnetic repulsion force. In the expression obtained that way, equilibrium position is proportionate to mass to the power of -0.25 ( $z_{eq} \sim m^{-1/4}$ ). Figure 5a is a graph of that dependence with a linear fit which shows that the approximation is valid in this experimental range. To connect the "period" and "trajectory" aspects as well as to check the cosistency, the  $z_{min}$  vs.  $z_{max}$  (within one period) dependence is crucial. In the theoretical model it is given with  $\gamma$ , which is the ratio of the two but also connects to all parameters of the magnet as given in (3). Good agreement of experimental data and theoretical prediction (Figure 5b) truly gives strength to the proposed theoretical model. It only begins to dissagree for large initial hights, where obviously friction needs to come ino account.



Figure 5:

a) graph of the dependence of the equilibrium position on mass to the -1/4. The line is a linear fit to verify the dipole approximation

b) graph of minimal to maximal height dependence. The line is the theoretical prediction.

In Figure 6a is given the graph of dependence of the period on initial height. Each local trajectory maximum can be seen as an initial height point; the graph than shows that the period is not constant during the oscilations but decreases. The experimental mass dependence of the period (Figure 6b) follows the theoretical line well with only a small offset and shows the expected behaviour of period increase for bigger masses.



Figure 6: a) dependence of period on initial height, b) dependence of period on mass The lines are theoretical prediction.

The trajectory measurements (Figure 7) consist of analyzing pictures the such as Figure 4b. Two regimes are separated by a vertical line in Figure 7. In the first regime the magnet goes far from the equilibrium and is in a free fall scenario. Thus, parabolas were fitted to the experimental curve. The second regime is when the magnet is near the equilibrium position and behaves as a damped harmonic oscillator. There, a damped sine is fitted to the curve. The envelope fit over the peaks is parabolic. That is consistent with the fact that the speed of the magnet was decreasing linearly with time (Figure 4a).



Figure 7: analyzed trajectory with fitted parabolas, damped sine and the parabola envelope. The vertical line is the boundry between regimes

# 6. Conclusion

The solution to this problem was based on two approaches, the period and the trajectory. The first one includes a quantitative theoretical model with no free parameters that gives good agreement with the experiment even in spite of its simple approximations. The dipole approximation is shown to be valid by the equilibrium positin on mass dependence. The period was observed to increase with the increasing mass and with the increasing initial height (not constant during oscillations). Deviations from the experimental data were observed for large initial heights. That is contributed to the lack of friction in the model. The mutual consistency of the two approaches is tested twice. First, the dependence of the lowest on the highest point of oscillations within a period is determined experimentally from trajectory and agrees well with the prediciton form the period theory. Second, from period measurements we see that the speed drops linearly whereas the maximal hight drops parabolically in the trajectory measurements.

A quantitative theoretical model was given with no free parameters, experiments were developed and the obtained results were in good agreement with the theoretical prediction. The oscillations of the magnet were thus thoroughly explained.

# 7. References

[1] Purcell 1965 Electromagnetism Berkeley physics course – volumne 2[2] Kittel 1965 Mechanics Berkeley physics course – volumne 1